

How Five Investigations into JFK's Medical/Autopsy Evidence Got It Wrong

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU> (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU>)

Uploaded by [The Future of Freedom Foundation](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCqOG4zIDmbIUk12Fzwg5tLA)

(<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCqOG4zIDmbIUk12Fzwg5tLA>) on Sat May 29 2021.

This talk by Dr. David Mantik is part of the online conference The National-Security State and the Kennedy Administration <https://www.fff.org/national-security-state-kennedy-administration-webinar/>.

Despite having been repeatedly reinvestigated by well-credentialed, official experts, JFK's medical and autopsy evidence continues to be a source of endless confusion and controversy, now more than 50 years after Kennedy's murder. The reasons for that confusion have as much to do with the shoddy nature of JFK's original autopsy as they do with the surprisingly shoddy nature of subsequent reinvestigations. This presentation will explore in a nontechnical manner the myriad errors made during JFK's original autopsy, as well as the remarkably consistent pattern of errors in subsequent reexaminations that evidence. What the author found to be most remarkable is that the pattern of errors uniformly and consistently were made in a manner that supported Lee Harvey Oswald's guilt.

[00:00:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU)

[Music] and welcome to the final presentation in the medical evidence part of this conference which is really the heart of the conference we're pleased to have a very distinguished speaker but first let me tell you how things are going to work uh over the the next two to three weeks next week we've got doug horn returning to talk about the national security state and the kennedy assassination which is the title of this conference that will be followed the following week by me on the same subject and then we've decided to add a panel discussion the week following that that'll focus only on the national security state since we've we've covered the medical evidence and the autopsy pretty thoroughly especially in the q a we decided that we don't need any more q a on that but we thought it'd be a good idea to have one final panel of q a of the speakers that before the medical evidence and after the medical evidence on the national security state so plan for that and so let's go right into our presentation i want to introduce to you dr gary aguilar he is a pr practicing ophthalmologist in california clinical professor of ophthalmology at the university of california in san francisco he's been researching the kennedy assassination for at least 20 years he's one of the few people who have been permitted to examine the actual autopsy materials in the national archives he's widely published both in scientific journals and the popular press he's a popular speaker at jfk conferences in fact any conference that i attend if he's speaking there i always make it a point to to go listen to him and several years ago he wrote this absolutely fantastic article on the five official investigations into the medical evidence and the autopsy they were conducted by the us government and uh that article had such a big impact on me

[00:02:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=122s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=122s)

that article had such a big impact on me that my second book you know i wrote my first book on the kennedy assassination the kennedy autopsy and then i followed that up with a sequel called the kennedy autopsy 2 and it revolved in large part around gary's investigation of those five analysis of those five investigations so i highly recommend that article i'm sure he's gonna reference it tonight because that's the title of his talk so without any further ado thank you gary for taking the time to join us and we look forward to your presentation uh thank you very much uh uh jacob and thank you very much mark for the help and i i'm sorry about the glitchiness uh uh scheduling issues have been complicated i had a very busy surgery surgery day to day uh

but i want to welcome everyone and i want to welcome everyone's interest and thank people for coming and being online here with us today um i'm going to be talking about something which is near and dear to my heart that's a medical and autopsy evidence in the kennedy case and and as jacob mentioned i'm going to uh start uh here with uh these um slides come on in um uh and i want to bring everyone's attention to this uh um let me just see if i get this slideshow to uh yeah gary hit slide show on the top there yeah slide show i think um unfortunately it's uh it's being blocked by this is the zoom icons let's see let's see we can get oh here's an idea okay slides let's see if i can get slides show up pardon excuse me

[00:04:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=240s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=240s)

pardon excuse me from current slide there we go all right here we are i i hope that that's uh visible to everyone there um in any case i uh for anyone who is interested in this and speaking of the national security state it's very evident to me that the national security state had great concern about kennedy's assassination perhaps i think because the nationals because our uh our uh federal officials may have had something to do with it they certainly had something to do with trying to cover it up afterward and i am and i people will who have looked at the medical autopsy evidence say well gosh you know there's been five investigations into kennedy's medical and autopsy evidence and they've been performed by people who have wonderful credentials and they've all concluded that shots came from above and behind where ozil was supposed to have been why shouldn't we trust them um in any case let's get a little background here first uh jfk was murdered in dallas he was then flown to the naval hospital in bethesda where three professional pathologists performed an autopsy now by professor pathologists what i mean is that these are guys that have are fully credentialed and teach younger pathologists how to do an autopsy so they had a lot of uh four general autopsies um and that they concluded that uh that uh oswald or at least they concluded that jfk was shot from above and behind uh where everybody griezly harvey oswald had fired at the president pretty open and shut case on the basis of medical evidence or is it let's ask uh warren commissioned attorney uh arlen specter in a u.s national world report uh interview said there's every reason to believe that we did get a comprehensive thorough professional autopsy report from trained skilled experts and their

[00:06:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=360s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=360s)

trained skilled experts and their conclusion two shots from the rear so the question arises for anybody that's reasonable uh and curious about this why you know why should we doubt it wasn't the full majesty of the state put in service at solving the crime of the century what unimpeachable icons such as chief justice of the united states earl warren cia had alan dulles uh j edgar hoover the head of the fbi and the president of the united states then lbj has settled for anything less than the full truth and weren't absolutely indisputable facts extracted during the president's post-morning examination that proved uh the essential fact that there was but one assassin and he shot at kennedy from above and behind killing him so and of course you have uh uh uh original autopsy notes autopsy photographs and x-rays and three independent teams of forensic authorities all of impeccable reputation have second sole assassin conclusion and in all essentially four expert panels reaffirmed that kennedy fell to a lone gunman that was firing at him or that fired at him from above behind so it's reasonable to ask were all these leaders and independent medical experts mistaken or corrupt or part of a conspiracy reason for doubt came from uh good sources not least of which was a former chief coroner in new york city michael baden he chaired the house like committee on assassinations forensics re-examination which also by the way as we'll see it concluded kennedy was shot from above and behind and so oswald was likely guilty but he reviewed the autopsy as it was originally done and he said where bungled autopsies are concerned president kennedy's is the exemplar and for reasons that we'll see that anyone watching today will understand it really was a bungled oxy so um but again people off always hasten to

[00:08:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=482s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=482s)

um but again people off always hasten to add that even though there are a lot of mistakes made that independent people have re-examined this and they've all come to the same conclusion a conclusion that has test of time and the experts include the original warrant commission doctors and physicians uh uh professor pathologists it will another group that was brought in the so-called clerk panel which we'll discuss today the third group a so-called independent group of physicians was organized during the rockefeller commission's investigation and then finally in 1979 the house select committee on assassinations uh assembled a panel of forensic positions um and there was also sort of in some independent united states justice department authorities in any case let's understand something because it's important that everyone realizes that whenever anyone is involved in a complex human endeavor whether you're writing studying physics chemistry writing history doing anthropology there are going to be errors there's occur and in in you know one can expect that errors will fall sometimes one way and fall sometimes the other way there are a remarkable degree of errors and a remarkable number a myriad of errors that were made by the original autopsy team and by the experts who officially reinvestigated john kennedy's medical and autopsy evidence and the fascinating thing is that there are errors always uniformly go a single way in support of the government's conclusion that oswald did it of course he who pays the fiddler who's paid for these reinvestigations the government whose conclusions are they buttress the governments in any case let's go through just to get a general idea of of jack kennedy's wounds and what we're talking about here so we can discuss what happened when they were

[00:10:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=600s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=600s)

discuss what happened when they were reinvestigated they concluded that two bullets struck jfk both were fired from above and behind him the first one struck jfk in the upper back exited kennedy's throat went forward to inflict all the wounds there were a number of wounds suffered by john conway who is sitting in front of jfk the same bullet then is supposed to have uh exited from kennedy's or from uh john conway's body after it it went through him uh it then entered the back side of his forearm and wrist exited through the polar surface of the surface facing his palm and finally a fragment of the bullet ended up in connelly's dr or governor conway's left thigh that is um that's the first bullet the so-called magic bullet uh there's lots to say about the magic bullet and i've written quite a bit about it suffice it to say that the bullet that was found on a stretcher uh at parkland hospital they said was this magic bullet that went through both jack kennedy and and governor colony that bullet is not the bullet that we have in evidence today uh it was a different bullet and you can just google up magic bullet and my name and i'll take you to all the declassified documents and original interviews about that but nevertheless that was the um the idea that two bullets struck from above and behind uh uh hit kennedy in the upper back and caused damage uh to his uh upper part of his chest which we'll learn and it went through uh governor connolly um and this is a diagram of the single bullet and uh to try to explain the theory that kennedy was uh sitting behind the governor the bullet went through him and then went through the governor as you can see as per this diagram and the on the right side you can see the bullet that's supposed to have done all that it's called commission exhibit 399. it's present uh at the national archives i've seen the original

[00:12:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=720s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=720s)

i've seen the original and here is a so-called whitberg diagram showing how that bullet passed through uh kennedy and you can see the bullet hole from an original autopsy photograph now i've seen the original autopsy photographs which are a much higher quality than this black and white one but uh listeners and viewers should know this is a this is a real autopsy photograph that it is they were they're bootleg copies again not as quite as good as the originals but it's the real deal and this is the both that's supposed to have done that it was supposed to have been found on a stretcher uh at parkland hospital on the afternoon of the assassination um and against it is this bullet with very little deformation as you can see the only little deformation is slight flattening at the base here that's supposed to have caused all seven non-fatal injuries in both jfk and connolly went through kennedy this way uh right through this and then of course went through uh john connolly the second bullet the official uh the fatal bullet has two official pass uh the warring commission and the original autopsy doctor said the bullet entered low toward the base of his of jack

kennedy's skull and exit out toward the right side as you can see as for this diagram but when subsequent people went back and looked at the at the original autopsy photographs and x-rays they decided that the autopsy doctors had gotten so imagine this this is where the this is something called the external occipital protuberance i'm circling around that there that's that little knob at the base of your skull and the original autopsy doctor said that the bullet went in closest uh to the right and just above and you'll see other diagrams but about here and it went forward later on they said no that's not what happened the bullet actually entered in a much higher place and rather than being in occipital bone and this bone down here is acceptable bone it went through a different bone the parietal bone uh 10 centimeters higher than the originally uh claimed entrance wound

00:14:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=840s>)

originally uh claimed entrance wound and the first people that came up with that conclusion were the first group of doctors that looked at the autopsy evidence um to review it and that they were the doctors of the clark panel uh subsequently doctors for the rockefeller commission and the house law committee all agreed the bullet entered high like this and not low and this diagram by the way on the right is a diagram that is was published by the house select committee on assassination by the forensic panel of the house select committee on assassinations now gunshot op uh gunshot autopsies are a real specialty uh the kind of sub-special that does a a a gunshot topsy or one of violent deaths knife wounds gunshots that sort of thing he's a forensic pathologist he's not an anatomic or a general pathologist um general pathologists like the ones that that greeted kennedy when he was rolled into the navy more were are are experts in natural death things like heart attacks strokes cancer that kind of thing not not gunshot deaths not knife wounds uh to get that kind of expertise requires additional years of training and lots of experience but anatomic pathologists that are interested in that will continue to pursue that and usually under the tutelage of other forensic pathologists around the country jack kennedy's chief autopsy surgeon was a general pathologist his name was commander humes and his second in command was jay thornton boswell also an anatomic pathologist 30 minutes after the autopsy had begun a forensic pathologist from the armed forces institute of pathology a fellow named uh colonel uh pierre finck came in uh but by that time uh humes and boswell had already removed jfk's brain and forensically important evidence may very well have been lost by that uh unfortunately uh finck was not the guy that should have been his autopsy um

00:16:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=960s>)

should have been his autopsy um and you'll notice that everyone that's involved in the autopsy works for the government as in the military uh there is literally no there is no forensic pathologist in the world outside outside the government that would not have volunteered to do an autopsy there were forensic pathologists down at um at baltimore that had a lot of experience and the front and the autopsy doctors asked for permission to have them come in and permission was denied they were refused permission to bring in outside pathologists so they brought finck over frank was a poor backup he basically he had even though he's credentialed and had trained in it he didn't do uh uh forensic autopsies he basically reviewed the autopsies did uh that were done by others so uh one of the more most famous uh forensic pathologist in the world uh in new york city's milton halpern described dr finck's circumstances and he said colonel thinks position throughout the entire proceeding that is kennedy's autopsy was extremely uncomfortable if it had not been for him the autopsy would have been handled uh would not have been handled as well as it was but he was in the role of a poor army bastard child forced it into a navy family reunion he was the only the the only one of the three doctors with any experience with bullet wounds but you have to remember that his experience was limited primarily to reviewing files pictures and the records of finished cases there's a world of difference between standing at an autopsy table and trying to decide whether a hole in the body is a wound of entrance or a wound of exit of which there's great controversy incidentally and in reviewing another man's work at some later date in the relaxed academic atmosphere of a private office well that's what dr finck was he was basically an armchair forensic pathologist not a practicing forensic pathologist and kennedy's wounds needed a a very astute they were complex they needed a very astute practicing forensic pathologist in any case go to something which i think is very

[00:18:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1080s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1080s)

go to something which i think is very telling in jfk's autopsy report which you can read right here in the report it says three shots were heard and the president fell forward bleeding from the head according to newspaper reports washington post and it lists it this is right from the autopsy report which you can find in the warren report online bob jackson a dallas times herald photographer said he looked around as he heard the shots and saw a rifle barrel disappearing into a window in the upper floor of the nearby texas school depository building next further it says shots resounded in rapid succession the president's hands moved to his neck he appeared to stiffen momentarily and lurched slightly forward in the seat a bullet had entered the back of his neck another bullet then struck president kennedy in the rear portion of his head causing a massive and fatal wound the president fell to the left into mrs kennedy's lap that's what the autopsy pathologist heard that's what was published in the press that he was hit in the head he fell forward and then off to the left and they put that into the autopsy report so it's interesting that kennedy's uh uh that that journalist reports uh became part of the evidence of kennedy's uh assassination just witness reports now it's using credible background information from the scene of a murder uh isn't neither improper or unusual in all types of in autopsy examinations but in jfk's case the jf the the information turned out to be as inaccurate as it was likely influential in their conclusions now we don't think he didn't fall to the left and if you read the original handwritten autopsy report before they edit it slightly it says three shots were heard and the present fell forward well here's what you actually

[00:20:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1200s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1200s)

forward well here's what you actually see in this brewer film at the bottom left is zabrudev frame 312 literally 1 18th of a second before he's struck in the head then at 3 13 he struck him ahead and you see his head explode and in eight frames later you can see that kennedy alert has lurched backward in less than half the second he's driven back and to his left well that jolt back into his left is not only not mentioned in the autopsy report because they didn't hear about it but in the war and commission's volumes of course the warrant commission had a superior film in in either the warren report or the warren commission volumes there is no mention of the most dramatic motion in the zabruda film and that's ken kennedy being driven back to his left now people looking at a film like that might say hey you know it looks like he might have been driven back to his left because he was hit from the right front and not from behind it's nowhere mentioned in any of the one commission's volumes a remarkable omission so the question is the autopsy provides evidence and the evidence that you base your conclusions on about what happened and the question is um is the evidence complete and we first learned in 1998 that under uh and this is a quote from uh uh on ap dispatch uh quoting something that happened during the interviews that were conducted by the autopsy uh pathologist that under oath that uh to before the assassination assassination records review board in 1997 dr humes jfk's chief pathologist admitted under persistent questioning that differs from what he told the warren commission he had destroyed both the notes taken at the autopsy and the first draft of the autopsy report humes told the warrant commission that he'd burned a first draft of the autopsy protocol but that he said nothing about destroying the original autopsy note he'd taken by hand on the night of the autopsy or those taken by dr think now dr fink is the only forensic pathologist there

[00:22:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1320s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1320s)

pathologist there he apparently prepared notes so did dr humes prepare notes those notes have vanished now i i don't know if it's worth going into too much detail here but suffice to say dr fink was bitterly complaining about the fact that he looked around for his autopsy notes after the completion of the autopsy and they disappeared and there was uh there's a a a contact report uh published by the assassination records review by a doctor who he spoke to about the fact that uh they disappeared but the doctors themselves were very very uh circumspect and very unhelpful to say the least but they were called in for example to testify for the assassination review board uh dr humes boswell and think and they initially said they didn't want to do so so they finally only appeared when the assassination records review board threatened to slap subpoenas on them in any case uh dr humes has explained several times um that the

reason he burned those original autopsy notes uh because he did not want them to become you know because they had they were splattered with the president's blood and they did not want them to become uh objects of morbid curiosity uh but again he didn't destroy all the autopsy notes he preserved those of his assistant jay thornton boswell and as anyone can see right here i'm putting some little arrows to pointing where they are these are also splattered with the president's blood um so he said he destroyed the notes so that they wouldn't be seen splattered with a president's blood uh because that would you know only attract morbid curiosity but he didn't destroy uh others uh notes those of uh his associate jay thornton boswell um so what you have is a situation which is fascinating and that is you have inexperienced pathologists who were who had been given misleading information saying that kennedy had been shot from above and behind and he fell forward after the shots that encouraged them to look to the rear to find the

[00:24:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1441s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1441s)

them to look to the rear to find the source of kennedy's demise ken humes dr humes destroyed both original autopsy notes and the first draft of the autopsy report and one can only wonder if conclu if the original pathology conclusions had been any different if they had heard the truth that kennedy had violently rocked backward with a fatal shot and that there were credible reports that the shots had been fired from both the front and the rear in any case um one of the things that you will find is that the warren commission in all subsequent investigations are continually shaping the narrative toward the lone gunman conclusion there was a news conference conducted on the afternoon of the assassination and the news conference was of the doctors who'd taken care of the present including dr malcolm perry uh and dr perry was at was asked where was the entrance when he was speaking about the throat about the the neck throat one and he said well there was an entrance wound in the neck but asked again which way was the bullet coming on the neck wound at him perry it appeared to be coming at him question doctor describe the interesting wound you think from the front uh from the front in the throat dr perry the wound appeared to be an entrance wound in the front of the throat yes that is correct the exit wound i don't know it could have been uh uh the head or there could have been uh a second wound to the head there is no time to determine at this particular instant okay the upi then reported that there was the this is the united press international reported uh reported that there was an entrance wound below the adams app the new york times reported that um that mr kennedy was hit by a boat in the throat just below the adam's apple this wound had the appearance of a bullet and a bullet's entry the dallas morning news reported the front hole was described as an entrance wound and it quoted dr perry quote it did however appear to be the entrance wound at the front of the throat these press accounts and others like

[00:26:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1560s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1560s)

these press accounts and others like them accurately reflect the fact that at no time during the press conference and that press conference is available you can you can read it yourself did perry dr perry a lot for any other possibility and the throat was an entrance wound now for reasons that i'm not going to go into here he may not have been right about that but it doesn't but the point i'm trying to make here is how the warren commission shaped the narrative he gives a press conference at no time during the press conferences he say it was anything but an entrance wound and and it's reported widely um across the country that that's what he said how does a warren report describe dr perry's press conference statements it reported dr perry stated to the press a variety of possibilities that that could account for the president's wounds which is complete rubbish of course shortly after that he was dr perry was asked you know so um he was asked by life magazine so listen if the president was shot from uh uh from behind have an entrance wound in the in the throat in the front and dr perry stills you know hewing to the idea that there was an entrance one in the front answering he said well look it may have been the president was looking sideways with his head thrown back when the bullet bullet struck him from behind life magazine had this brutal film it owned the film and and in the december 18 1963 issue life magazine reported that jfk had turned his head toward the sniper's nest just before being shot in other words giving a justification for perry's surmise that the reason there's an entrance wound as a throat is because kennedy turned around well as anyone who's ever seen this

agreement film now knows kennedy never did that he never turned around neither the warren commission nor life magazine nor the government ever corrected this era that was published in life magazine but again life magazine a major publishing outlet uh read by millions of people

[00:28:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1680s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1680s)

outlet uh read by millions of people particularly right after assassination because it had a lot of information about the assassination they're relying to essentially support the government's conclusion oswald has shot from behind and this is just one of many exhibits cited by skeptics that criticize uh the media's pro-government handling of kennedy's murder in any case um so when they're now under oath dr perry and all the doctors were being quizzed as to as to the nature of the wounds and the bullets that uh that caused them and doctors or arlen specter was pushing the idea of a single bullet had done all the damage all the non-fatal damage to both the president and the governor and he had to push this crazy magic bullet theory because they didn't have the zabruder film show that there didn't wasn't enough time for oswald to have acquired his target fired and acquired his uh target again and fired twice two bullets couldn't have created all the non-fatal injuries so it must have been a single bullet and so uh arnold inspector asked dr perry based on the appearance of the network alone could it have been in either wound or an exit wound and dr perry answered well it could have been either and then spectre launched into a question and i won't read it in detail it's coming on the next slide but he says well permit me to supply some additional facts he alleges that they're facts of course there's suppositions on his part but he calls them facts dr perry which i shall ask you to assume is being true for the purposes of having you express an opinion and you can all go online and read the question but he he basically assumes all the elements that would have to be true for the single bullet theory to work that the president was struck by a 6.5 millimeter copper jacketed bullet fired from a gun having a muzzle velocity of approximately 2 000 feet per second with the weapon being approximately 160 to 250

[00:30:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1802s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1802s)

weapon being approximately 160 to 250 feet from the president with the bullet yada yada right on the that the bullet went through without violating the plural cavity but bruising the apex of the right plural cavity and then so on and so forth and then after he after he lays down all these things as facts although they're not facts at all he he then asks he said now assuming those facts to be true would the whole which you observed in the neck of the president be consistent with an exit wound under those circumstances dr prairie says certainly would be consistent with an exit wound well arlen specter wasn't satisfied by asking only dr perry these questions he literally posed that same question verbatim and um if you want to look up when it says 6h 42 you can go to the volume 6 of the warren commission volumes page 42 uh page 38 uh volume 3 uh page 362 and so on and so forth these were all these other doctors who participated in jack kennedy's care were asked the same question and to get the same answer that yes uh the wound in the throat uh was consistent with an exit wound that's how they were shaping the narrative so then they had to deal with governor conley and his wounds and um and the warren report on page 95 says in their testimony the three doctors who attended governor connolly at parkland hospital expressed independently their opinion that a single bullet had passed through his chest tumbled through his wrist with very little uh exit velocity leaving a small meta leaving small metallic fragments from the rear portion of the bullet puncturing his left eye after the bullet had lost virtually all of its velocity and had fallen out of the thigh wound that's part of the warren report so they basically say that all the three doctors that uh that attended him expressed independently their opinion that that's

[00:32:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1920s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=1920s)

independently their opinion that that's what happened and that's dr robert shaw dr charles gregory dr george shires those are the three doctors that attended the governor all right and the that report in the warren report accurately reflects what these three witnesses had said when they were first interviewed um in on march 23rd 1964 before but that was before they'd seen the zagruder film before they see saw the stretcher bullet commission exhibit the magic bullet and before they saw other key physical evidence but they testified again

after they saw there's a breeder fill in the magic bullet and other evidence and here's what they said dr shaw said i feel there'll be some difficulty in explaining all the wounds of both kennedy and conway it's the same being inflicted by bullet exhibit 399 without causing more in the way of loss of substance the blood or deformation of the bullet dr gregory sitting next to him agrees he says i'm not persuaded by uh that this single bullet theory is very probable two years later the heartland specter is still pushing this and he writes in and in in an interview the new york in the u.s news and world report he says all the doctors who attended the governor thought the same bullet had inflicted all the non-fatal wounds um that's how they're shaping the narrative they quoted what they wanted people to believe to be true and just ignored what what they had actually said and ignored their more informed opinion um so moving on to um uh this so the warren commission wanted to duplicate wanted to do some tests to see if the injuries as um uh can the injuries that can be sustained uh could be duplicated uh with uh some experimentation and of course that's what you ought to do you ought to see well this is how they said it went down the assassination went down let's try to duplicate this and um and as it says and you can find all the page numbers in the quotes and find it

[00:34:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2040s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2040s)

page numbers in the quotes and find it right online these quotes are available on my original essay which i made reference to at the beginning of the talk an extensive series of tests were conducted by the wound ballistics branch of the us army at edward arsenal these experiments the warring commission said blew out the right side of the test skull in a manner very similar to the head wounds of the president so here is the skull and that is commission exhibit 861. so there they are reporting that this skull had wounds very much like the president right here and that is an actual autopsy photograph of jfk um as i said before um they're bootleg copies they're not as high quality as originals that i have seen at the national archives but that's the real deal and this is what happens if you had shot at president kennedy the way the warren commission had concluded he had been shot the way the autopsy doctors said he had been shot the bullet would have entered in low here and kennedy's head of course they have kennedy depicted that way forward here but had he been shot the way he he actually was in position it would have blown out his right orbit uh anybody who is a physician here on uh that's watching this uh you can see that that the entire right orbit is missing part of the uh the malar bone the cheekbone is missing as well that's what happens when you actually shoot in the manner in which but here you have people testifying and here we are and he was describing now this is their their forensics expert again shaping the narrative constantly shading this this is the national security state of basically defending the conclusions that they want the public to believe about how kennedy died and as he's describing this um uh dr olivier who had done these tests this is the guy that did the test he says well this particular study this is a direct quote you can read his testimony uh volume five of the warren commission page 89. this particular scope blew out the right side in a manner very similar to the

[00:36:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2160s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2160s)

side in a manner very similar to the wounds of the present we found this bullet could do exactly could make the type of wound that the president received now there's some evidence that some of the members of the warren commission looked at the autopsy photographs but they all had this because this is a published document this is the rydberg diagram and in the rydberg diagram and in the autopsy report it makes no mention of loss of the orbit no mention of loss of the uh the lower part of the frontal bone or the cheekbone as this and this is what the skulls that were shot in duplication experiments actually look like they knew that kennedy's face had not been destroyed by the bullet and yet uh here you have an expert testifying that this bullet could do exactly could make the type of wound that the president received now when you review stuff that's been published recently and that's lucian hague's work that was published in the association of fire arms and tumor examiner's article the guy that was featured on the nova the pbs nova show uh cold case jfk he is he's also quoting this and that quote is virtually verbatim also quoted by lucy and hague they they don't care about what what the duplication tests actually show they just want to support the conclusion of the government uh of the government's uh uh that oswald had done it um so uh and and this is another quote uh based on information provided by now this is this is again to show you how they shape the narrative so they talk about the rydberg diagram which was to depict how kennedy was positioned at the moment he got that

fatal shot with his head his chin dipped downward um and he said based on information by the provided by the doctors who conducted the autopsy an artist uh drawing depicted the path of the bullet through the president's head and you can see that this is how the warren commission had the bullet going through the president's head

[00:38:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2281s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2281s)

through the president's head entering very low in the rear of the skull and coming out sort of toward the right side okay and you can see this is the area that we could probably call that the external civil protuberance base of the skull and but you'll notice something about the way they have kennedy's head positioned and this is how they depicted it they had their supreme film they could have depicted the tilting of his head any way they wanted um he also noticed where the bullet hole here is actually original autopsy a photograph is lower than that but that's neither here nor there uh they wanted that bullet to come out through his throat so of course in the rydberg diagram they have the back uh entrance wound at a high position but you can also see the tilt of the head now kennedy's head was tilted forward it was tilted downward but not nearly as far as they had to uh tilt the head in this to make this theory work but had the bullet gone in low in the rear of kennedy's head and let's assume that this is approximately extra uh external acceleration it would have blown out through his orbit here's where his eye socket is more or less it would have looked something like this had the bullet gone the way it was supposed to have gone and of course they they claim that this is the same approximate position as the predator frame 312. well there's a brutal frame 312 so you can compare for yourself it's not it's not an effort this is tilted much more to make the theory work but doubts about the conclusions began because of books that began being published in the mid-1960s uh in late 1960 harold weisberg's book whitewash mark lane's rush to judgment edward epstein's inquest life magazine's uh uh a matter of reasonable doubt uh and richard popkins ii oswald all these books came out at the end raising questions so the new york times harrison salisbury wanted non-government pathologists to review the autopsy photographs and x-rays and uh he got that request to warrant commission counsel david slawson and this is something that that the

[00:40:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2400s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2400s)

and this is something that that the kennedy attorney brooke marshall had resisted um salisbury's ultimate goal uh the warren commission council slawson worried um might be uh that the times would endorse a wide-ranging reinvestigation of the whole assassination uh even though he initially examined this they were really afraid that if they started looking at this that it might lead to a freewheeling examination and so slawson wrote that there's still a reasonable chance of spiking this thing and that is a reinvestigation to the whole assassination by reinvestigation limited to aspects of the autopsy but if public opinion uh continues to develop as it has over the past few months we may be soon be faced with a politically unstoppable demand for a free-wheeling investigative reinvestigation of all aspects of the assassination well that's what they wanted so the justice department with attorney general ramsey clark's approval quietly arranged no one knew about this it was done in secret originally for the original autopsy team to conduct the first formal examination ever of jfk's autopsy photographs and x-rays now that should be of interest to anyone watching this anyhow when they did the autopsy they had x-rays to look at there but they never saw the autopsy photographs when they were autopsy report nor did they ever see them so there were two investigated two inspections of the original materials were conducted by the autopsy team the first on november 1st 1966 and the second on january 20. that's the original autopsy doctors went in and looked at the autopsy x-rays and photographs and they prepared two attestations saying that all the photographs are there no photographs or x-rays are missing and that the images support their original conclusions well all right so you ask a group to investigate itself what do you expect that's no surprise um so uh so dr boswell and i'm having a little

[00:42:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2520s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2520s)

so dr boswell and i'm having a little tough time reading this myself because it bought it's part of the screen um dr boswell uh admitted uh that one of the attorneys for the justice department uh asked him to write a letter and request that that apparently their personal attestations that yeah we got it right the first time in the autopsy photographs x-rays before we were right uh that apparently wasn't satisfying a lot of people so the justice department went back to dr boswell and he admitted this he admitted this under oath um he said yeah they came to me and they said uh well we want you to write us a letter requesting a civilian group be appointed by the justice department uh or by the president or somebody and i did write a letter asking for a re-examination by an independent group and it it led to the creation of uh the first semi-independent i'll say that uh advisedly because it was not all that independent the clark panel named after attorney general ramsey clark um so but why did ramsay clark want another review and uh i should probably reverse the the this let and let me again let's go back to this uh these two inspections were done two ass stations attestation prepared declaring that no autopsy photographs were missing and that the images support the original autopsy concludes well here is their uh their review and you can read this over on the left and i've blown it up on the right and it's it's the same stuff that you see right here the x-rays and photographs described and listed above include all the x-rays and photographs taken by us during the autopsy and we have no reason uh to believe that the uh that other uh photographs or x-rays were made during the autopsy okay um well so here is their attestation okay they signed off on the fact that everything is complete nothing was

[00:44:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2640s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2640s)

everything is complete nothing was missing all right when you dug through the files and i want to thank the assassination records review board for finding these documents you find that um a memo from uh carl belcher of the us justice department and he says that on the afternoon of november 10th 1966 i took the original and one carbon copy of the document entitled report of inspection by naval medical staff uh yada yada yada to bethesda hospital where it was read and signed by captain humes dr boswell captain ebersol who was a radiologist that night and the autopsy photographer mr john stringer certain incorrections were made in the document before they signed it the significance of this is that these guys didn't write this diet this attestation that all the autopsy x-rays and photographs are there they weren't that that wasn't what they wrote the justice department wrote this for them as he said i took the original and one carbon copied the document uh and and he took the original to them and they signed it okay and it and you'll say that certain incorrections were made in the document before they signed it okay there's the document there are the incorrections and corrections they made this is available online um and again that's they're saying that this includes all the materials and the other thing you'll notice is you have humes boswell ebersole and stringer in that order and sure enough uh humes boswell's ever salt and stringer is exactly the order in which uh carl butcher the justice department uh uh indicates uh they signed it that's that's what they prepared these guys signed their attestation now pierre fink um uh uh was familiar with this document and um uh and he said he said yes well they they a statement had prepared by the

[00:46:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2761s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2761s)

they a statement had prepared by the justice department and we signed a statement um there's the statement okay and where it was read and signed by captain humes dr boswell captain eversol and mr john stringer just in that order okay what's interesting is that even though they signed an attestation that there were no uh photographs uh missing they later repeatedly testified under oath that photographs they took on the night of the autopsy were missing from the official inventory that they had signed off on as complete in this attestation in 1966. okay before the house select committee on assassinations andy purdy um reported that quote john stringer he was the autopsy photographer he was in there taking photographs during of kennedy during the autopsy he said that it was his recollection that all the photographs he had taken were not present in 1966 when dr stringer saw the photographs for the first time he stringer noted that the receipt had said that some of the film holders because they were duplex film holders which is a kind of view camera they were using then uh had no film on one side of the cassettes he said the receipt said that this happened to two or three of the film holders where only one side was allegedly loaded he said he could understand that film holders were reported to have been four leagues poorly exposed or defective film but he could not

believe uh that there were uh uh any slides on the film holders which were not loaded with film otherwise they basically accused him of not having film in the film holders and this he was john stringer was a famous autopsy photographer so famous that the government would send other autopsy uh photographers to him to learn how to do it he was he was the man and um he said i took some images and those images that i took are missing um dr humes testified um this is warren commission testimony

[00:48:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2880s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=2880s)

um this is warren commission testimony dr hume says this skull wound that then had the characteristics of a wound of entrance from this direction through the tables the the two tables of skull in other words he's talking about that entrance one in the back of kennedy's head and incidentally photographs illustrating this coning or beveling phenomenon that show the bullet's direction both the internal external of the skull and from the internal services were prepared in other words they took pictures of the entrance wood of the skull with us with a scalp reflected he testified to that for warren commission okay those photographs don't exist i've seen the original i've seen all of them they're not there um dr petty uh during uh uh testimony before the house select many assassinations uh dr fink was asked if i understand you correctly dr fink you wanted particularly to have a photograph made of the external aspects of of the skull from the back to show that there was no cratering on the outside of the skull and there was creating on the inside of the skull and says absolutely did you ever see such a photograph dr fink says no i don't think so and it brought with me memoranda a memorandum showing uh referring to the examination of photographs in 1967 and as i can recall i never saw pictures of the outer aspect of the wound of entry in the back of the head and the inner aspect of the skull in order to show it crater although i was there asking for these photographs i don't remember seeing those photographs now again as the only ferezius guy there he knew the importance of documenting the wounds and so he would be there telling the autopsy photographer john stringer um to take photographs of the skull wound so they could document the path of the bullet and he says i don't remember seeing those photographs um um so uh pardon me as i turn my phone off i'm getting a call here um assistant autism photographer um floyd riebe was asked by the assassination of review board do you recall whether any pictures were taken very close to inside the cranium oh yes i think mr stringer did that when the body was on its side that was they had body on the side they took some pictures of inside the cranium and one of the outside

[00:50:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3001s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3001s)

cranium and one of the outside photographers who was john stringer's assistant remembers them having done that obviously autopsy photographer john stringer did you take any photographs of the head after the scalp had been pulled down reflected yes doc mr stringer said those photographs don't exist um uh and there's numerous other examples of this uh stringer said that he took at least two exposures of the body cavity they're not there either dr humes has reported in hscA memo to have specifically recalled photographs were taken of the president's chest these photographs do not exist photographs had also toured the warren commission 1964 that he'd taken photographs of the interior of kennedy's chest uh dr boswell ii in command backed up dr stringer and humes the hscA again re-examining this in the late 1970s uh recorded that they thought that they had photographed the thoracic cavity in the lung but he doesn't remember ever seeing those photographs those photographs don't exist so um so uh and then that takes us to the issue of uh i want to go back because the next examination from the original one i mean here you have all the doctors testing that the autopsy photographs uh were present when uh they weren't because the justice department had them say that or had to sign off on that um that was still not satisfying people and so in 1968 jay thornton boswell writes a letter to the just department and he says as you are aware the autopsy findings of the in the case of the late president uh john f kennedy including x-rays and photographs have been the subject of continuing controversy and speculation dr humes and i have felt for some time that an impartial body a partial board of experts including pathologists radiology should examine the available material and there's a letter he wrote but again he did not write this letter

[00:52:05 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3125s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3125s)

again these are the reasons why they began the clark panel report okay and the members of the clark panel who then went to re-examine the uh the evidence they included dr karns dr fisher dr morgan and dr moritz they're all eminently qualified a pathologist uh a professor of pathology a another pathologist here the baltimore medical examiner forensic pathologist the chairman of department of radiology at johns hopkins and another pathologist at case western reserve but but what did they think their job was okay so apparently the panel was put together and amazingly enough um dr fisher who was uh this fellow right here the second listed here he was the baltimore medical examiner dr fisher admitted in the in 1977 in maryland state medical journal that the clark panel forensic pathologist he admitted that clark the attorney general became concerned about some statements that he'd seen in proofs of the not yet published book by josiah thompson six seconds in dallas ramsey clark decided to get a panel of people together to look at the autopsy evidence independently of all other investigations and the result of this panel review was that we found some minor errors in jfk's autopsy protocol such as the side of the entrance room uh uh as being just above the external exhibit protuberance the clark panel report was released quote partly to refute some of the junk that was in tink thompson's book fisher said now tink thompson uh published a book called six seconds in dallas which is famous uh in the kennedy assassination raising doubts about the official conclusion uh he's most recently published another book called last second dallas which i recommend to anyone who's interested in the case but you have you have one of the clark panelists admitting that they put them

[00:54:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3241s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3241s)

panelists admitting that they put them on the job to basically quash questions about the assassination question doubts about oswald's guilt so mclaren uh and um this is from the clark panel report and what they decided is the original entrance wound was supposed to have been down here near the external occipital protuberance and they said no no it wasn't that it was approximately 100 centimeter 100 millimeters higher and the bone at the lower edge of this hole that they point to right here is depressed and you can see that the bone is depressed this is part of the clark panel report so now this is a diagram of the back of a skull and the original autopsy pathologist were shown the back of the skull and told all right you didn't exactly specify how many uh millimeters centimeters from the external occipital protuberance that's that knob at the back of the skull here which i point to you didn't tell us uh point to exactly how close that was so mark a skull about where the entrance was and all three of them marked it here in fact uh i think it was think that even marked it lower than the external exhibit they all marked it right around here well the clark panel the hustle act committee assassinations other people said no no it was much higher and and yet they kind of tried to smooth this over by calling this whopping air now imagine this is toward the top of the back of your skull this is at the bottom of the back of your skull they they caused they called it missing uh the entrance wound by 10 sonometers and putting in a different bone a minor error again shaping the narrative again you know supporting the government's position again saying oh well you know minor error you know nothing to you know nothing to worry about here um and as i point out here this is where the original pathologist marked a human skull to show right where they said it was uh this is also these references are again available online um now this is

[00:56:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3361s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3361s)

um now this is the original odd types of report and it says there is i can't even read it myself uh but essentially there is a defect involving chief to the right parietal um bone uh on the right side but extending somewhat into the temporal and occipital regions okay and this is a diagram from the rydberg diam published by the warren commission and 13 sonometers okay uh and and in this region there is an actual absence of scalp and bone producing is approximately 13 centimeters in greatest diameter okay i put a question mark here because on the night of the autopsy uh boswell and this is one of the documents did survive that have the face sheet and on the face sheet is the number 17 and 17 with an arrow going four to f and it says missing i don't know if you can read that missing four and a half 17 centimeters well he was asked about this and dr boswell said well when we got the body we measured the defect it was 17 centimeters a skull was missing when a late arriving skull fragment fit back into the skull then the defect only measured 13 centimeters and that's what they put in the autopsy report but essentially they're saying that the defect was

17 centimeters from four to f and here again another version of that uh from another powerpoint slide i made a diagram which he made and again this shows where they marked the entrance spoon this is a diagram that represents what dr how dr boswell described the skull defect when they first examined jfk and he described this before the assassination records review board uh in the 19 late 1990s and he said the defect was huge went from back down here to here and of course if you take a centimeter ruler and you measure from near the forehead backward 13 centimeters would take you to about here but if it was actually 17 centimeters and we gotta trust that these guys knew how to use a ruler

[00:58:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3480s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3480s)

how to use a ruler then the defect had to go way to the back of his head way back in this area right where they originally marked the skull so um now this is also from um uh the original autopsy that x-rays uh the original doctors the original outside report said that x-rays showed a fragment trail uh along the line because corresponding with a line joining the uh uh above described uh small occipital bone or the interest bone and the right supraoriginal ridge in other words the original outside report says well there was a line of fragments and and here i'm going to demonstrate to anybody that that is watching this that you're much smarter than even pathology uh and uh and then again shaping the narrative uh here's the external occipital protuberance they said that the x-rays showed that there was a line of minute small metallic fragrance that went from this area of the skull to that area skull okay well as you can all see here here's that trail of fragments it runs along the top of the skull not down here i've seen the originals now these are enhanced uh uh there's an enhanced x-ray and it does blot out some of the smaller fragments but there's no small trail the trail that you see is way up high here and yet in order to kind of continue and shape the narrative in a pro-government direction they described a trail about directionality the autopsy report to support the conclusion the bullet entered here um the clark panel it then they said well now the bullet hole was much higher and they described it this is from the clark panel report that on the lateral film this fragment formation trails long axis it extended posteriorly passes through the above mentioned entrance hole i.e the clark panel said well this is the entrance hole it's right here and the fragment trail goes to here toward the front well as anyone watching this can see that fragment trail doesn't go anywhere near there it's much higher than that

[01:00:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3600s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3600s)

than that now that's not just my view that fragment trail does not line up with either the autopsy reports claim it's much higher and in 1978 the house select committee's expert radiologist dave davis reported that the trail extended anteriorly from the inner table and skull at a point approximately six centimeters and trill superiorly from their previously described embedded embedded metal fragment now what that means is that there is a embedded metal fragment right here near this entrance hold and he says exactly what i have found myself that this trail is 600 meters above that that's where the trail is but again clark panel was there to support the government's conclusion and to shape the narrative again they're saying that the fragment trail runs from here to here that's not where the fragment trail runs at all um again we beat this to death um okay again this is what their clark bannon was trying to argue that that on lateral field number two on long axis it extended posteriorly it passes through the above mentioned new entrance hole um but it doesn't at all uh all right so the clark panel report on page nine uh uh writes that a well-designed uh zone of discoloration uh uh uh is uh present on its upper and outer margins now um uh which has a uh uh you know the the the appearance of an entranceway now uh just uh just to go through this there if a bullet enters perfectly perpendicular to round perfectly round uh uh open you know uh burn mark around the edge of it if it goes from above downward you'll find the bruising um is greater above because it first

[01:02:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3722s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3722s)

is greater above because it first strikes the tissue going downward at the higher end of the wound and then drives lower and so there's a there's a zone of discoloration as it were above and if it goes from below uh upward it the zone of discoloration is greater below and the clark panel reports here that that that is most pronounced in its upper and outer margins well anyone looking at this photograph unfortunately this doesn't

show it as well as originals do but they don't zone the discoloration which and they wanted to again to support the idea kennedy was shot from above and behind therefore the zone of discoloration is greatest at the upper edge of the wound because the bullet was going down when it hit him and so the zone of discoloration is greater and its upper part of the wound but it isn't as anyone looking at this can see it's greater than the lower part of the wound the actual zone of discoloration shows that the bullet if anything seemed to have been going slightly upward um in any case let's go on to the next investigation but again always shaping the narrative they will look at the evidence and interpret in a way that supports the government's uh uh uh vision so here we have the rockefeller commission that's the next official investigation of jfk's medical autopsy evidence but they were looking a lot of other information and seymour hirsch revealed that the cia had conducted widespread in illegal domestic surveillance and made openings of law-abiding citizens during the vietnam era and uh it led to a big eruption in 1974 with this front-page story there's a picture of it right there and so they the they established the rockefeller commission and the vice president of the united states uh established it um and uh nelson rockefeller and uh uh and they appointed nelson rockefeller to head it of course next nelson rockefeller himself had been involved a lot of the cia's called

[01:04:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3841s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3841s)

involved a lot of the cia's called duggary um former warren commission council david bellen was named as the executive director certainly somebody that could be very objective about the warren commission's conclusions and uh the new york times toms wicker pointed out that the commission looks suspiciously like a goat set to guard a cabbage patch having the cia investigated by such a group like this is a having a mafia audited by its own accountants well that's exactly right um but among the charges uh that they wanted to investigate was uh uh something pertaining to jfk's medical autopsy evidence so david bellen said that since i had served as a system council with a warren commission i removed myself with direct responsibility for any investigation pertaining to the assassination because they were investigating aspects of the kennedy assassination but in 1988 he admitted that that when rockefeller's medical experts convened to review jfk's autopsy evidence bellin uh personally attended the meeting that meeting and examining the autopsy photographs and x-rays right alongside these consultants um dr whit wecht uh a famous warren commissioned critic ripped bellen's uh uh warren commission roots and the medical panel itself is made up of people who have been associated with the baltimore medical examiner's office the johns hopkins school of medicine the department of radiology all these people had prioritized the prior government investigations and as uh wecht uh said the commission is set up by uh has set up a panel of governmental sycophants to defend the warren report and all the members of the panel appointed by the rockefeller commission have strong ties to the federal government and close professional relationships with individuals who have formally participated in studies defending the warren report and i'm not going to go through all this but you can find these all online all these people dr olivier who who was the um guy who said that the duplication experiments showed that the skulls that they they shot uh to duplicate kennedy's uh wounds uh looked just like kennedy well of

[01:06:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3960s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=3960s)

uh looked just like kennedy well of course they didn't but he gets brought back in dr latimer who's always defended the warren commission and who happens to be jagger hoover's urologist biologist and of course warner spitz had previously been on uh had worked with dr russell fisher and all these other people had ties to prior government investigations um uh and uh it said that uh uh a re-examination was made of the question of whether the movement of the president's head and body following a fatal shot are consistent with a present being struck from the right rear or the right front or both right front and rear that was one of the things that they wanted to investigate and um on on april uh 15th 1975 uh one of the rockefeller commission's councils robert olson wrote a member of the file uh on his own telephone conversation with cyril weck so what by then was quite publicly skeptical of the warren report and the government's conclusion and uh he noted that dr wecht had asked whether the rockefeller commission was going to be getting access to the following items which have not been made uh which have not been to date made available for examinations the autopsy in other words were these guys if they're going to reinvestigate the kennedy

assassination or they can look at jfk's brain kodachrome slides of the interior of the presence chest microscopic slides of tissue taken from the various uh parts of the presence body especially those related to the wound areas and he asked these questions because wecht knew that that that that material had been available jfk's brain had undergone a special autopsy examination um the autopsy pathologist said that they took code of chrome slides of the interior of the presence chest and they also took slides of tissue taken from various parts of the present body and he and wreck asked are you are your experts who are going to be

01:08:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4081s>)

are your experts who are going to be looking at that stuff and reporting on it so uh three days later uh david belland robert olson sat down with their medical experts uh for what they called a panel of consultants meeting and the they asked them all the panelists to respond to a list of 14 questions and among them whether examining the the missing evidence that wecht had specified kennedy's brain tissue slides and chest photographs was necessary to to arrive at a reliable judgment concerning the number of shots in other words wech didn't then know that this stuff was missing he later found out was the first one to report that jfk's brain was missing and those slides were missing but they knew that these this information was missing and uh so they asked their hand-picked you know consultant stooges essentially uh do you need to have this material to rely to arrive at a reliable judgment concerning the number of shots which hits the present or the angles from which they were fired and they all wrote back oh no well this is dr spitz's i do not believe that an examination of the president's brain would contribute significantly to a clarification of the circumstance of the murder and that microscopic examination of the skin of the slides of bullets taken uh would not in my opinion have added pertinent data it's complete rubbish and microscopic slides according to one of the you know and one of the uh well-known french pathologists that microscopic slides through a gunshot wound invention show an abrasion ring you remember that little ring we showed earlier that's called abrasion ring actual wounds don't show that so if you have tissue slides from these wounds you'll know whether there's an abrasion ring or not of course that that would be important besides an abrasion ring dr demaio said that microscopic presence of gray-colored tissue wipe or soot from the bullet would also be uh important well but these guys warner spitz wasn't there to necessarily he was there to support the government so he said no we don't need to have that material not one of rockefeller's

01:10:03 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4203s>)

material not one of rockefeller's experts so much has hinted that the tissue slides would have helped confirm a key corollary of the single bullet theory that tissues taken from the edges of the bullet holes in kennedy's back and rear scalp showed the distinctive microscopic signs of a bullet's entrance which they would have shown had they survived but they again like other evidence in this case vanished not one of them said having jfk's brain to examine which of course would have been crucially important and not just photos that would be important in other words they basically told david bellen warren commission council and uh and the rockefeller commission what they wanted to hear they also said a number of other things they said that the the trailer fragments as they had the clark panel lined up between that that high entrance wound the external electrical procurements just as the clock panel had again complete rubbish it was wrong false but they concluded that um i'm not going to go through all the errors they made um and but it is worth reading this richard lindenberg uh one of the uh rockefeller commission said that in the the whole uh in the skin which we showed you before also shows the markings of an interest wound a discrete zone of dark discoloration of the marginal skin most prominently the upper and lateral margin of the wound this zone is practically absent at the lower edge in other words the abrasion collar is the upper part of the wound showing that the boat was going downward unfortunately uh warner spitz the other one of the other consultants uh uh didn't get the memo and he wrote there's no doubt that the bullet which struck the presence penetrated uh uh struck the presence back penetrated the back in a sharply upward direction as is evident from the width of the abrasion at the lower half of the bullet wound of entrance uh he he must have missed the memo that you're not supposed to say that um and he uh it is evident that in this injury that the most missile traveled upwards within the body and of course here we are um again to show you the abrasion ring would be perfectly around if it hit perpendicularly

[01:12:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4320s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4320s)

perpendicularly if it came from up down it would the abrasion collar would be greatest and discoloration would be greatest above if it came from below upward the abrasion column discoloration would be greater below and where is it greatest here this doesn't give it this again it's an artist's rendition from the house electronic assassination it does demonstrate that the greatest uh portion of the abrasion collar is down below but the original autopsy photographs show it best that's the actual zone but they're willing to say what the government wants them to say there's the there it is and you can see it's greater below than is anywhere else there appears to be something here this is not as evident the original autopsy photographs but this is clearly evident um uh i um all right again this is dr lindenberg saying that the stumps on the fibers in the front of jfk's shirt uh shirt uh uh showed the torn fibers of the material pointing outside well that's complete rubbish uh in 1964 j whoever told the warrant commission the fbi lab had found the same thing though uh jack reported that the hole in the front of the shirt jfk shirt um was a ragged slit like hole at the ends of the torn threads around the hole were bent outwards these characteristics are typical of an entrance uh projectile of an entrance hole for a projectile well dr lindenberg was apparently not aware of what warren commission skeptic had pointed out years before and and that had long been discovered and what the hscA reported quote this is the hscA reporting this the fbi laboratory's initial description which preceded hoover's uh letter to the warrant commission by the way did not offer evidence concerning the direction of fibers no bent fibers were noted when the fbi lab initially examined jfk shirt the first report that they were bent outward appeared in hoover's letter of the warren commission he was fabricating material to keep us up in any case robert mckegan says uh one of the rockefeller commissions that the president's head motion is

[01:14:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4441s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4441s)

the president's head motion is inconsistent with a shot striking him from any direction other than from the rear which is complete rubbish uh werner spitz says it's impossible to conclude the direction of the head and head and body following the head shot from which uh direction the shots came and doc and dr hodes said that the motion of the president's head as shown in this rear film does not indicate the direction shot in my opinion but see dr mckegan was not just a forensic pathologist as these others were he was a uh i think in the navy uh he was a government a military uh pathologist and again uh always saying stuff which is complete rubbish um so averaging proving grounds did some shooting tests to see what would happen and here we have uh larry sturdivant started testifying to the shooting test they did because a lot of shooting tests had been done to try to prove whether the the head would you know of test skulls uh what would happen if you shot test skulls and in addition to the wounds which we already saw in that photograph uh larry sturdivant testified that as he was showing a very high uh it's just something like a uh 2200 frame per second film of a skull being shot in the aberdeen by the aberdeen proving grounds and he says as you can see because he showed the film twice of two different skulls as you can see uh the two skulls that we have observed so far have moved in the direction of the bullet in other words both of them have been given some momentum in the direction that the bullet was going this third one also shows momentum in the direction the bullet was going showing that the head of the president would probably go with the bullet now this is the government owned test done on human alcohols filled with brain equivalent material and he concludes in fact all 10 of the skulls that we shot did essentially the same thing they all went away from the rifle not toward it as kennedy is supposed to have done dr latimer testified that he'd done some

[01:16:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4560s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4560s)

dr latimer testified that he'd done some uh experiments to test both the damage uh effects of a bullet fired into the presence of the rear of the head and the principle of the jet effect which is supposed to explain that the bullet went in small exploded through the front that exit of a lot of debris toward the front drove the head kennedy's head backward and dr uh dr latimer said that uh he presented to the warren commission as evidence and the rockefeller rather commission as evidence um both picture motion picture film and still photographs showing the results of his experiments okay and here you see uh this is from latimer's experiments and you can see that that the skull is sitting on top of a ladder flat with the jaw flat against the

top of the ladder blood comes in from above and behind half for latimer and you can see that the skull is somewhere in this debris here what is worth noticing here is notice how the ladder is tipped forward you can see that there is a slight tipping here but it's greatly exaggerated because the bullet hits the skull the jaw is laying on the flat on the top of the ladder and it and the momentum is imparted to the ladder moving the ladder forward and the kennedy bow and and and this not kennedy and the test skull bounces backward after the forward momentum applied to the skull which we saw happening in all these human experiments done by the government itself uh that that forward momentum drove it the latter tips forward as uh in response to latimer's bullet um so again completely bogus uh test uh that gave people the information that the government wanted that kennedy came kennedy's shot came from behind and it drove the skull backward the government's own shooting tests are never mentioned uh the ones that were done in 1964 never mentioned the ones and in in other later reviews recently by lucian hague and other people they all refer to the jet effect

[01:18:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4681s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4681s)

all refer to the jet effect uh there is no gen effect the jet effect does not explain it the only thing can really explain kennedy's motion is uh is uh the uh is a direct uh force by the bullet shot from the right front and again according to dr latimer the wound shown in his test skulls and here's an example of his testicles are very similar to those the present of the present well there's uh dr la dr latimer's test skull that shows you know part of the frontal bone missing uh uh the frontal bone goes a little bit beyond that that's and just mostly the frontal and the anterior portion of the parietal bone missing uh well that's not what happened to jack kennedy uh here's what jack kennedy here is the diagram that dr baugh as well prepared for the assassination shockers review board and here's from the original autopsy report um or the notes from the autopsy 17 centimeters missing that the skull defend went way back here so dr uh uh olivier uh uh who was then testifying for the rockefeller commission credited louis alvarez with original studies uh into the gen effect that was that that gave you this uh real-world motion bullet went in the back of his head exploded out to the front the jet from the front drove the head backward um uh and you know is i right we don't have time to go into this in great detail but some of you can find this on the web it's in uh tink thompson's new book uh louis alvarez uh did some shooting experiments and uh shot melons and showed uh rear wood rear coil but he didn't just shoot ordinary melons with the manure carcano uh he used a soft pointed hunting round and not at two thousand feet per second like a mountain car candle but juiced it up to 3200 feet per second and then shot at melons that way about a half or two-thirds and have a soft cover and then got jet effect and he said well if we had shot at a number of different things and only reported the ones that gave us

[01:20:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4800s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4800s)

and only reported the ones that gave us reverse recoil back toward the rifle we could be criticized but as we did the experiments this is what we got therefore i think kennedy was shot from above behind well it turns out as tink thompson puts out in his new book and as i've lectured about it so is he louis alvarez shot a lot of different things in his so-called duplication test everything he shot at came went away from the rifle except for the melons and that's what he reported in literature that's what uh dr olivier cites here and that's what most people who defend the warring commission's report including other people uh recently are arguing for a gene effect which is again complete rubbish um let's without going through too much defect detail uh uh david bellen was not the only one that had stark conflicts of interests um you can find this on my uh at the essay originally but all these other people who were appointed by uh rockefeller had huge conflicts of interest and um and close connections to the rockefellers themselves uh of course ronald reagan was also appointed and of course he had no experience with cia and he attended few at the rockefeller commission's meeting so then finally we get to the house selling committee on assassinations uh and um and it explored the medical autopsy evidence and let's go back to what the professor of neurosurgery wrote on november 22nd he just examined jack kennedy treated him to try to uh he was the senior examining physician for the president and uh he wrote that there was a large wound beginning in the right occiput extending into the right parietal

region this is what he wrote now this is a professor of neurosurgery those head wounds he says that there was a large wound beginning at the right occiput the occiput is right in the occipital bone back of the head extending into the

[01:22:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4920s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=4920s)

back of the head extending into the parietal region much of the skull appeared to be gone at the brief examination and dr clark's claim of rearward skull defect was also repeated by parkland's uh by parkland uh doctors including professor marian thomas jenkins who was an anesthesiologist who sat at the head he sat within inches of the president's head he also described the wound that way in contemporaneous notes also was described that way by dr malcolm perry dr robert mcclellan dr charles carrico dr ronald coy jones dr jean aiken paul uh peters charles rufus doctor all these doctors were in there and they all described the same thing several of them i can't remember which off top my head right now wrote these descriptions down so i want that to set with you for just a moment and i want to take a little mini break here for a moment this is what was written on the night of the assassinator the afternoon of the assassination after doctors who see lots of trauma examine presence kennedy's wound they describe this wound in the right occiput okay i'm going to take just a moment's break here and i'll come right back

[01:24:12 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5052s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5052s)

all right didn't take too long i hope um connecticut so here we are this is written in notes that are published by the uh warren commission uh in fact i think this notes in the warren report itself uh but it was written by the professor of neurosurgery the senior examining physician but a number of these other people were also faculty members and and and parkland hospital in dallas is a major trauma center i was a general surgeon i was admitting general surgery resident at another major trauma center at ucla ucla's harvard general hospital uh and we see lots of trauma these guys are extremely experienced with trauma uh i'm sure it's not much different than harvard general hospital parkland is one of the places that is like on the list of major trauma centers and people that that are there have lots of experience with this they know what they're talking about in any case um and clark's account fits pretty much with what was in the warren report as a description of a parietal temporal occipital skull defect and it's also pretty consistent with what we see in boswell's sketch 17 centimeters missing but it doesn't match the autopsy photographs as you'll see right here this again is an original autopsy photograph again as i said before not as high quality as what we see or what i saw in the originals but this basically shows a defect which is in front of the right ear involving the top of the head but with no visible defect behind the ear it's nowhere near the occipital area that was specified in the autopsy report or by the parkland doctors both republican doctors and the autopsy doctors described a wound that extended into the occipital portion of the skull well the house that came in assassinations realized that there was a

[01:26:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5160s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5160s)

assassinations realized that there was a conflict there was a problem the parkland doctors described a defect in the back of the head and it does not appear to be in the autopsy photographs so what are we going to do so it reported that it interviewed the people who were present at the autopsy and the house election assassination on assassinations reported that in disagreement with the observations of the parkland doctors are the 26 people present at the at jfk's autopsy all those interviewed who attended the autopsy corroborated the general location of the wounds as depicted in the autopsy photographs none had differing accounts it appeared more probable that the observations of the parkland doctors are incorrect so the parking doctors just made a mistake that was their view okay and we know that because all the people that we interviewed who actually were at the autopsy uh endorsed uh the wounds as you see in the outside they all basically agreed with this all right well it wasn't until the assassinations record review board released these documents that we found out that the hscA had completely misrepresented both what the autopsy witnesses told the warren commission originally and what they had told the hscA all those interviews had been suppressed they had no national security uh uh importance there was no reason to have withheld those uh autopsy witnesses

accounts or statements it had nothing to do with protecting national security but what they did have to do with is protecting the view kennedy had been shot above and behind the doctors who saw a bigger wound that might have suggested somebody else besides oswald had done it those guys were all wrong but rather than contradicting the puck and witnesses that there was a rear defect in jfk's skull these suppressed interviews revealed that the bethesda witnesses actually corroborated them they not only described the rear defect to the hsca which hsca suppressed

[01:28:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5281s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5281s)

to the hsca which hsca suppressed they they also drew diagrams well let's go through some of these here's a drawing made by the autopsy technician james curtis jenkins again saw a lot of them he's showing a defect he showed uh there's the back of the head uh and showing a defect here at the right rear of the head here's the front with the clavicles entrance wound there and uh here's here there was a scar kenny had a scar back down here defect in the back of the head there was uh one made by an fbi agent president the autopsy showing a defect squarely in the back of the head uh here's one made by another uh fbi agent uh showing uh a defect in the back of the head right right rear this is this pretty good correlation to what uh they said here's one uh by sandra k spencer now she says that she saw an original autopsy photograph um that showed a defect in the rear of the head not present in those uh autopsy photographs are available now she helped develop autopsy photographs uh here's one by uh tom robinson uh who was the mortician who prepared the body for burial defect back here in the red head all these were suppressed uh doc dr boswell's labra assistant jan rodnicki uh said uh that the back right quadrant of the head was missing the radiologist who was there taking the x-rays uh said the back of the head was missing uh a commanding officer of the military district of washington phillip whale wrote in a memo he said that you know that jfk's wound was in the back of the head so you would not see it uh because the president was lying face up so it was so far back well um uh i can't even see um

[01:30:06 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5406s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5406s)

uh i cannot read i cannot read what i say up here let's see if i can believe oh yes okay oh uh here's the question about jfk's uh autopsy photographs it says that they were authenticated by experts so in other words um one of the ways that the uh house of elect committee defended its conclusions was saying that well we looked at the x-rays and the photographs and the autopsy photographs were authenticated by experts um and i won't read this to you guys this is part of the house election assassination and it said because the department of defense of uh because the department of defense was unable to locate the camera and lens that were used to take these autopsy photographs the photographic panel that reviewed this stuff was unable to engage in analysis similar to the one undertaken with oswald uh backyard uh pictures that was designed to determine whether a particular camera at in issue had been used to take the photographs that were the subject of the inquiry so they said well we couldn't find the camera but the photographs are clear that of jack kennedy and we're not able to find the camera uh to completely conclude you know close the loop but we're very comfortable that that with the authenticity of the images okay but the house like assassination was sent to camera it didn't like the camera that the defense department had sent so it sent a letter to asking secretary defense for to look for another camera the chief the house select committee's chief counsel robert blakely explained the problem well he sent us a camera quote our photographic experts have determined that this camera or at least the particular lens and shutter attachment could not have been used to take jfk's autopsy pictures in other words they looked at the camera they compared it with the autopsy photographs they had they said well this camera didn't take those pictures

[01:32:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5520s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5520s)

camera didn't take those pictures department defense wrote back to the hsca that bethesda only had one camera of its type in possession and that the only camera in use at the national naval medical center in 1963 had already been sent to the hsca for its studies and um i'll try to bring this down so i can read it so to authenticate kennedy's autism with a great grasp they asked them to uh ask nate to get the camera they

want to see the camera so they get evaluated uh and they did the navy sent them a camera uh the hscA tested the camera and found that our photographic experts have determined that this camera didn't take uh uh jfk's autopsy photographs so the hscA asked the navy to look for another one um so the navy sent them a fact sheet that said uh a fact sheet saying that uh that they quote strongly re reiterates the navy's position that the camera provided on the hscA was indeed the camera used at the autopsy photograph but it does not manage that okay so what uh so the navy said the hscA fact sheet that strongly reiterates the navy's position that the camera provided the hscA uh that the camera provided to the hscA was indeed the camera used to take uh jfk's autopsy photographs so how does the hscA report this conflict to the public it says because the department of defense was unable to locate the camera and lens that were used to take the autopsy photographs in other words it completely you know buries the fact that they had gotten the what the navy said was certainly the camera taking the outside sea photographs and they said well uh because they didn't they were unable to locate the camera well they did have a camera unfortunately it doesn't match the autopsy photographs um so the irony here is that the house select committee on assassinations concluded that

[01:34:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5641s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5641s)

concluded that on the basis of the evidence available to it that president john f kennedy was probably assassinated as a result of a conspiracy that's what the house select committee on assassination concluded on the basis of a lot of evidence including uh most importantly the acoustics evidence which of course we're not going to discuss today i would refer people who are interested in that aspect of the case to look at tink thompson's new book last second of dallas he goes into that in considerable detail and has done some new research which again confirms that the notion that acoustics evidence uh shows that there was probably a conspiracy um but the hostile committee on assassinations did conclude there was a conspiracy but it misrepres reported the evidence about the autopsy camera and the autopsy witnesses in a way that undermined the hostile committee's own conspiracy conclusions uh it withheld non-sensitive documents about the problems with the autopsy camera which only came out in the 1990s and the statements of the autopsy witnesses from both the forensic panel and the public why didn't the forensics panel interview jfk's personal physician george berkeley who asked to be interviewed and he hinted at a conspiracy why didn't the hscA or the forensic defender panel uh that work for the house select committee on assassination look into reports that auto actually reports autopsy photographs were missing so um i'm not gonna go through this um that's a subject for another talk dr george berkeley was the president's personal physician he rode with a presidential uh uh caravan in through dallas um and he went to parkland hospital and was with the doctors that were trying to resuscitate jack kennedy uh he then uh flew with the the president's body with the the um uh stayed with the casket

[01:36:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5760s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5760s)

casket and went with a present uh body to bethesda um and was present during the autopsy during an oral history dr berkley was asked do you agree with a warren report on the number of bullets that enter the president's body berkeley answered i would not care to be quoted on that this response from the physician closer to all the autopsy-related events elicited no official interest but ten years later berkeley was still troubled in early 1977 berkeley's attorney william ellick contacted the house select committee on assassinations richard sprague and uh george brooke as i said he was intimately familiar with jfk's medical history he participated in parkland he traveled with a body with a body to the powered by all official investigations one that wasn't interviewed by the warren commission wasn't interviewed by the clark panelists wasn't interviewed rockefeller commission wasn't interviewed uh by the house election assassinations but berkeley continued to harbor private doubts um here is a memo that basically says that uh dirkley berkeley now the memo was written by um uh uh by uh william ilick who was the uh attorney private attorney for dr berkeley and he wrote on berkeley's behalf to inform that that although he had been jfk's personal physician he'd never been interviewed about the assassination and he advised that he had quote information that others besides oswald must have been uh must have participated okay so they never

interviewed they never asked him about that information however the house select committee on assassination councils andy purdy and mark flanagan did reach uh dr berkeley but their only purpose was not to ask about that was to extract an affidavit saying that that that no one could have intercepted jfk's body

[01:38:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5880s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=5880s)

one could have intercepted jfk's body before it had gotten to the morgue and that jfk's wounds had not been altered between dallas and the postmortem berkeley wrote up an affidavit declaring that quote uh he berkeley had remained within the ambulance of the president's body in the casket and also on the plane the casket was neither open nor disturbed in any way and also that there was no interference in the nature of the wounds as seen in dallas as compared with those seen in the morgue which of course we've seen as true questions about about how berkeley knew that others besides oswald mussorbatis must have participated even though they'd gotten the memo um at the hsca that drew none of her to your flanagan's attention nor did the hsca staff pass berkeley's lead to their own forensics doctors in other words the doctor who had attended uh the president wasn't that lead was not pressed to the forensic consultants the forensic pathologist on the uh on the house select committee's forensics panel um berkeley remained uh remains a skeptic author henry hurt in his book wrote in 1982 wrote that in 1982 dr berkeley uh told the author in a telephone conversation uh that he believed the president's kennedy assassinated was a result of a conspiracy so hurt was very insidious and he later contacted berkeley to wrench for a face-to-face so two months after they had exchanged promising letters the doctor responded with an abrupt refusal to discuss any aspect of the case somebody had gotten to dr berkeley he shut him up henry hurt who had who was going to be getting the story from dr berkeley never did get that story um and so uh the one thing that i that i think we need to understand is something that was written in response to oliver stone's jfk and um and i think it's something that

[01:40:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6000s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6000s)

and um and i think it's something that meri that that bears repeating here and he said it's one of contemporary journalism's most disastrous conceits the truth cannot exist in the absence of revealed evidence i.e revealed by the government by accepting the tyranny of the known as told by the government the media inevitably relies on the official version of the truth seldom asking the government to prove its case while demanding of critics that the official version of the most uh critically of that official version they demand the most exacting test of evidence in other words this has been the case with the kennedy case that we have repeatedly caught the government misstating evidence withholding evidence um denying uh evidence uh suppressing evidence and and falsifying evidence uh and the the press the media never asked the government about to prove its case but whenever there is a skeptic who challenges the government's case uh they are put through a they're run through a horrible gauntlet and nowhere is this more visible than in the kennedy's medical autopsy evidence your official original official findings are accepted without any serious scrutiny scrutiny as if the government was not institutionally incapable of anything but impartiality and telling us the truth challenges are always run through the most withering gauntlet perhaps for the obvious reason that is the government that sits in judgment of the merits of the challenge and i think this is one of the things that that we face in the kennedy case uh and that is that the only people who they will accept as being credible on this subject is the government conclusions themselves um uh but let's understand how these investigations should be looked at and occasionally some truth will slip out uh the church committee uh reinvestigated a number of crimes committed uh

[01:42:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6122s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6122s)

a number of crimes committed uh by the government and it reported regarding the uh the kennedy assassination that director hoover the justice department and the white house exerted pressure on officials to affirm oswald's guilt in other words the church committee admitted this that director hoover j edgar hoover who had the dirt on everyone he had he had dirt on earl warren on all members and the one thing i don't have a slide here to show but something which if anyone wants to contact me i'll send them to the the link uh

and it's in some essays i've written uh j edgar hoover had filed checked the warren commission and all the members of warren commission including her uh assistant counsel everything else for derogatory information in other words his conclusion that oswald had done it was going to it was going to be the the conclusion the government was going to reach and he filed check everyone for derogatory information in case he would need it in any case uh they all joined in lockstep the justice department which you know of course was director j edgar hoover and the white house exerted pressure on officials who affirmed that oswald is killed so what physician chosen by the justice department to act as a government clerk panel reviewer in 1968 or the rockefeller or the house select committee physicians would have dared challenge a justice department conclusion that it earned earned earl warren's prestigious endorsement so three the carry away from this is how the narrative is established by the government early on and officials continue pushing that narrative uh throughout the investigation good military pathologists are given false information that three shots were heard before the president fell forward the floor of the limousine and then a rifle barrel was seen being withdrawn from an upper floor of a window behind jfk during the 36 hours between the end of

[01:44:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6240s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6240s)

during the 36 hours between the end of jfk's autopsy and the final written drought to the authorized report when the government and news media were solidifying around the theory oswald had done it it's difficult to imagine the government pathologists would be would be willing to defy the overwhelming conventional wisdom the warring commission didn't examine autopsy x-rays they may have seen one or two of the photographs but didn't really examine them and certainly had no expert again nor did they subject them to an independent scrutiny by outside experts a statement by [Music] one of clark's experts probably reflects how the justice department had conveyed to the panelists in the clark panel their marching orders namely as russell fisher described it one of their jobs was to refute some of the junk that was in tim thompson's book they went in there having been charged now imagine you're a well-known forensic pathologist uh in a political position as a coroner in baltimore and russell fischer was was well credentialed we're not saying that but it's a political position now the attorney general of the united states calls you up and says there's some there's some unpatriotic jump uh junk in uh this book six seconds in dallas and um and we want you to go in and and help help help us you know deal with this chunk that's appearing in this book so the final report was issued as discussed as uh you know showing their examination showed a remarkable degree of professional sloppiness especially for men of such high distinction um and they arrived at the conclusions no who would have dared challenge earl warren jugger hoover and the government in that era in any case uh uh there is much more we could discuss i am going to go now to a q a uh with anyone that would care to see it uh or care to ask questions and i'm gonna put myself on a video now uh and i'll ask the host to start the video

[01:46:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6361s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6361s)

and i'll ask the host to start the video if you'd like um and i will start my video okay okay gary you're gonna have to unshare your screen okay uh stop sharing okay there we go there we go all right okay all right we're back live or liver with you and me gary and thank you for that absolutely excellent presentation and and the great uh slides those are absolutely fantastic so let's get into the q a uh a lot of the questions were before you came we were discussing rock and roll so we won't go into those but um somebody did ask me to comment before you arrived on the memo that circulated in the white house right after the assassination that indicated that oswald needed to be pegged as the real assassin without any help uh i think he's referring to the kostenbach memo yes he is yeah yeah well yeah you may uh jacob i'm thinking you can probably speak to that as eloquently as and as expertly as i can there's a lot written about the cats and back memo and by the way for people who are interested in this if you google my name max holland jfk max holland gary aguilar jfk and it's an essay i wrote uh and and i go into the cats and back memo in considerable detail now i wrote it years ago and i can't remember exactly a lot of the details but katzenbach memo reflects uh memos that we're circulating in the justice department outside cats and back and directly from jack or hoover they wanted to issue jj hoover didn't want not want an independent investigation and leaned heavily against the

idea of there being an independent investigation but ultimately so much pressure was being brought to bear they agreed they would have one and of course whoever knew how to control investigations like that he file check everyone for derogatory information um

[01:48:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6480s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6480s)

information um and if you go google up that aguilar max holland and uh and max holland rescues the warren commission and the nation um it's a long essay that it has all the hot links to the original documents and the fact that that's exactly what they were going to try to do they're going to try to suppress any kind of independent conclusion other than the one that hoover wanted people to reach now why would hoover want uh to conclude that oswald alone had done it well if anybody if there had been a conspiracy then that would have meant that hoover missed it and uh uh and had anyone really look very closely at uh at oswald uh which they did not do uh it's worth noticing and i also mentioned this in that essay that uh the warren commission did not have an independent fbi expert on its panel investigating what the xp what the fbi had done um they instead turned to uh somebody in the fbi who had concluded that carlos marcello the well-known mafia don uh was a tomato salesman they missed the warren commission missed all the links that jack ruby had to uh the mafia um yeah and there's probably a hundred a hundred hundred fifty pages in the hospital committees on assassination i think eleventh volume or tenth volume or something like that um that goes into all the links between uh ruby and the mafia well um the warren commission missed all that because the fbi was not gonna investigate that um uh so i should look more at the camera so you're absolutely right the kotzenbach memo captures uh the fact that uh that uh very early on uh the justice department the fbi uh and the president united states and and the media let's let's uh let's bring the media into this if you look at the new york times edition of the warren report which incidentally was

[01:50:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6601s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6601s)

warren report which incidentally was released simultaneously with the government's edition um and i have copies of both both in paperback and heartbound but the new york times edition uh there is an introductory essay written by anthony lewis the new york times and anthony lewis writes uh in in his introduction which uh you can find i made reference to this nowadays that uh the warren commission released all the evidence that accumulated concerning the events in dallas uh including evidence that that that they may not have agreed with and it withheld only the names of a few uh personnel in american embassies for national security reasons otherwise it released all the evidence that it accumulated whether agreeing with its conclusions oswald did it or not well um that was just a complete fabrication uh i wrote anthony lewis and asked him whether he'd come up with that himself or somebody who warned commissioner told him that certainly someone in the ward commission told him that well the warren commission documents kept being filtered out and flitted out slowly slowly slowly over the following many decades and finally when the assassination records view board came along in the 1990s they looked into it and found that there were still 3000 pages of warrant commission documents are being withheld so you automatically had the you know paragons of the you know leftist anti-government leftist media signing up lockstep with the government on the warren report and on the conclusions oswald did it and they have continuously done that um and you can never trust anything the new york times says about uh uh the warrant commission's conclusions as you can't trust them about you know saddam hussein's weapons mass destruction or lots of other things but but this goes right along with uh the constant act they got all the major players and no doubt the major media players uh on board oswald did it he did it alone uh and it went right from uh the government to our government-influenced media as well thank you

[01:52:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6720s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6720s)

thank you any other questions yep yep okay next question when john connelly passed away nelly would not allow the remaining bullet to be removed conley never changed his story how can anyone believe the magic bullet um let me recommend that um that people that are interested in this there's a wealth of material and i i think ultimately wouldn't have made any difference whether they let them retrieve that little bullet fragment

that was still lodged in his thigh or not i don't think that would have made any difference ultimately but um there is a there is an essay that i wrote with tink thompson and we did some original research okay and it's right up there and all the declassified documents and letters from the assassination articles review board and the all these suppressed documents are up there thank you uh assassination records reward for this it turns out that the that the bullet that they found on a stretcher was given uh was picked up by one of the parkland hospital personnel was passed to a secret service agent named richard johnson j-o-h-n-s-e-m he then carried back to washington gave it to the chief of the secret service who then gave it to the fbi okay so it passed through four hands okay the in in 19 in 1964 a few months later the warrant commission was trying to chase down the chain of possession so it sent the bullet around to show it to the witnesses and uh the witnesses you know uh particularly the two that first had um uh said you know it didn't look like that to me and then they sell to the secret service agent and he said didn't look like that to me either and they gave it to the chief of the secret service who had it said didn't look like that to me either the bullet that we now have as commission three uh 399 is not the bullet that was found on a stretcher the book that was found on a stretcher according to a guy named o.p wright who had been a lawman for 20 years before working as

[01:54:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6841s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6841s)

a lawman for 20 years before working as personnel director at parkland hospital he'd been alone and he was a guns and ammo kind of guy he knew all about weapons and uh and he said right in 1967 when tim thompson first interviewed him he said no the bullet had appointed tip and he pulled that bullet out from his drawer put it on his desk and said it looked like you'll find that there's a there's an essay i wrote called the magic bullet more magical than we knew that we'll go through all this so no we can't now why would we not have been why would not have gotten that little fragment out have been of any great value and i think the reason for it is this um one of the things that really swayed the house select committee on assassinations that that oswald had done it was some work uh testified to by a guy named vincent quinn called neutron activation analysis naa neutron digestion analysis and vincent wynn analyzed the the bullets and 399 and concluded that all the the all the fragments recovered from assassination the fragments as well as 399 all traced to two bullets that had been firearms matched to oswald's rifle and no other bullets and that was very convincing to people and he gave that presentation early on in a house like committee on assassinations meetings and so the wind went out of the sails even among skeptics like people like paul hogan other than that said well good you know i mean vincent gwyn is a world authority on neutron activation analysis if all the fragments trace the two bullets that were fired from oswald's rifle that's it folks um that always struck me as is rubbish and so uh in the early 2000s also neutron activation analysis which had been used to put a lot of people behind bars incidentally because they would they would round up their neutron activation analysis expert vincent quinn and the fbi would try them around to various trials where people were being accused and he would do naa tests and say yep this guy shot him and these bullet fragments come from that bullet well a guy named eric randage

[01:56:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6961s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=6961s)

well a guy named eric randage um then uh uh working for now retired uh uh from the lawrence livermore laboratory uh apparently uh was brought in on one of these cases and did and he was a neutron activation analysis expert at lawrence livermore laboratory and he challenged vincent quinn in a trial and ultimately got someone off a murder rap and i happened to read about this and i thought well that's interesting colleges began being raised on neutron activation analysis and ultimately the fbi gave up um using neutron activation analysis stopped using neutron activation analysis entirely and um so i thought well god i wonder what they think about the jfk naa evidence so lawrence livermore laboratory is right across the bay from me and i invited eric grandage and his associate pat grant both naa guys uh pat grant by the way uh who who is involved here was a graduate student with vincent gwynne at the university of california irvine and did na work um and so they looked at it and i had them come and give a presentation in san francisco to a select group of people and they basically said it's rubbish uh vincent grindstuff is rubbish they ultimately published uh an article in a peer-reviewed journal basically calling it rubbish and subsequent to that other peer review articles have come out pointing that um that the neutron activation analysis uh evidence is complete rubbish

and that uh vincent was wrong okay um so the the neutron activation analysis conclusions have been completely debunked yet if you google up and i've done it um you'll find uh warren commissioned defenders like gerald posner are testifying as recently and by the way they published this stuff in the late 2007 or 2008-2006 um uh that's been completely debunked and also debunked by others as well as i've said

[01:58:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7080s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7080s)

debunked by others as well as i've said and yet uh gerald posner was asked in uh in a i think a cnn interview well what about neutron activation analysis and here he was this is like five or six years ago long after it being completely debunked and and positive say well listen uh you know we we know the facts about this neutron activation analysis proves that all of the fragments traced to only two bullets and they came from oswald's rifle well it turns out that the lead in that was uh uh tested for naa could have come from any bullets including bullets not even from manila arcana bullets um and so had they gotten that little fragment out of connolly's thigh it would not have proven even that the bullet came from a male 1 counter shell uh it would have been really of no value whatsoever so that's a long answer but it gets us into another part of the case because as as i've investigated this case and i think as you've looked at it too jacob every time you turn another page and look at some other conclusions some other fact claimed by the government it proves out that they're on shifting sands and they're often completely wrong and it additional investigation's proven to be wrong over and over and over again any other questions yep uh now this isn't a question uh just a comment but you might want to comment if not we'll just go on uh so the doctors in dallas came clean and stated that the blowout blow out at the back of jfk's head was the size of an orange you've seen the photo with a number of dallas staff indicating that also malcolm killed off indicating an entrance hit at the hairline with his finger on the right side of jfk's forehead everything else indicates the phony story shaping the false story yeah no i i would say that's right now we really get into the weeds on this and this would be a a long discussion in and of itself but the the point i would make and and and the argument that i would make is i think that uh what uh dr boswell originally wrote on the night

[02:00:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7200s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7200s)

dr boswell originally wrote on the night of the autopsy cannot be dismissed there was a defect that went all the way to the back of the head whether there was a blowout back there or not is another question entirely and my suspicion is that the defect went back there and when they put kennedy on the gurney and brought him into trauma room one where he was examined his scalp had been blasted apart because my own view and that as elaborated upon with very credible evidence in ting thompson's new book uh he was hit twice in the head uh hit from the right front at 313 um which is eminently obvious to anyone just looks at it you're just watching there's a brutal film he gets hit ahead he goes immediately driven back to the left and there's a huge explosion uh here and i can i don't know i'll even develop a little bit of about why that's so compellingly true uh not only from the book but this is stuff i gave tank and stuff i've lectured about but then there's probably a second shot that hits him at about 328 because even though he goes back to the left very rapidly after 313 he goes forward even more rapidly after 328 where we suspect that he was hit a second time in the head and additional material starts pouring forth from his face his head is already disrupted um so when they when he gets to parkland and they look at him his scalp falls back and he's got a defect that goes from here all the way back and they see this defect back there and the pathologist that's examining i'm sorry the anesthesiologist that's sitting right at his head talks about some brain material at the very back of the head cerebellum you know sticking out of his head so does the brain surgeon who's looking at us talks about cerebellum so is cerebellar mentioned by uh six or seven of the other doctors at parkland the defect was huge going way to the back of his head um but that does not necessarily thought back there i think that the bullet that hit him here it was a a high-velocity uh uh shell

[02:02:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7320s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7320s)

high-velocity uh uh shell a hunting round um masada you for anybody that's into the weeds on guns and ammo is an expert and masada you i can give people the quote if they ever want to have it it's a delicious quote he says look what we see in this magruder film is not what happens when a jacketed bullet hits a he hits a skull particularly from that distance he says ask any hunter that's ever shot a deer if he's ever seen a head explode like that he said no no no what that looks a lot more like is someone being hit with a high velocity round traveling you know 4 000 feet a second or so and not a jacketed round but a soft pointed hunting round that's what causes an explosion of the skull like that and you can't tell the direction of the bullet other than you can see the impact of the effect of the blood and that drives the head back to the left it's the only thing that okay gary if you can hear me we lost you i think his mic is still working uh try his video again here he comes okay we lost you for a couple of minutes there gary uh you're muted though yeah look it appears piercy's not muted can you hear us carrie not if he can hear us hey gary we cannot hear you why don't you give them a call all right can you hear me gary it's not if you can hear me

[02:04:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7441s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7441s)

okay we can't hear you jacob i'm having him leave right now and uh try and log back in okay bart what time did we initially log on and was it five till yes okay so we should wrap up at about quarter tail don't you think or maybe 50 after maybe 9.50 we may be wrapping up earlier excuse me

[02:06:15 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7575s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7575s)

okay i think we got you yeah yeah perfect okay we're good okay did you want to finish answering that or did you want to go to the next question um well uh i just i went on and on about neutron activation analysis and uh and and that it's junk science and uh and uh you can if you want to read about the uh the evidence for it uh uh it's on something else i wrote that's online um just uh neutron navigation analysis gary aguilar and you'll you'll find it and again with all the i i have links to the original published uh articles in the peer review literature uh but i'm just see the facts don't matter here because you'll have uh mainstream media uh you'll have the mainstream media uh featuring somebody like gerald page will say oh yeah neutron activation analysis proves there were only two shots they both gave from oswald's rifle we know that and they'll never challenge them that they'll never check uh peer-reviewed literature and so who are you going to trust you can trust people who are defending the government uh or people who have no opinion on the kennedy assassination that's the two guys from lawrence livermore laboratory uh they have they they they are completely agnostic on the question of conspiracy um but they are experts on any say yes the naa in the kennedy case doesn't uh uh is is no proof whatsoever that uh oswald's bullets did it those fragments could have come from any kind of a bullet not necessarily medical or kennel bullets okay we got lots of questions we have 20 more minutes okay good all right thanks for your interesting presentation can you give your succinct opinion as to the total number of bullets particularly how many struck jfk where and what from from what direction um i would like to uh i'd like to

[02:08:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7682s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7682s)

um i would like to uh i'd like to believe i'd like to argue that kennedy was hit in the front but that would mean the bullet had to have gone through his windshield and if it had it would have blown out a hole in his back i don't think it did that uh and so just from a forensic standpoint i think a bullet came through from behind and did hit him in the back and come out his throat it's it's a it's a weird thing why it didn't hit his vertebral body but it did break the first uh uh uh transverse process of the first sort of cervical uh uh sorry the first thoracic uh vertebra uh so i do think that happened uh do i think that that's the same bullet that hit uh uh connolly probably not um i think that uh i think that he was hit twice in the head i think that the big one that hits him in the head hits him in the right front uh drives him back to the left i think it was a non-jacketed bullet that did it in the non-jacketed bullet immediately upon striking non-jacketed they impart an enormous amount of momentum all the skull shooting tests that were done by the government on sk on human skulls show even with a magical cranial bullet it drives the skulls forward at a rate that's as fast as kennedy's head was moving back to the left but if you hit it with a non-jacketed bullet which flattens on impact it would provide even more

momentum of the skull now there's medical evidence to suggest that too and this goes right back to the clark panel he the clark panelists the radiologist describes what i've seen myself and with the other doctors who've seen this and there's a cloud of tiny little fragments microscopic minuscule little fragments and where are they the right front part of the head and minuscule fragments have a lot of dragon tissue they're so small and their mass is so uh their mass is so small and their surface area relative to their mass is so small they don't move far from where they're first deposited and where is that cloud of fragments right where they were hit here and and and as it's called a snow trail and the snow

[02:10:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7800s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7800s)

it's called a snow trail and the snow trail will tell you where a non-jacketed bullet has hit a skull because it leaves that snow trail where it hits and that's where the snow trail is that's where that cloud of fragments is so there's radiological evidence and of course the lunch back to the left uh without going into lots of detail here about why neuromuscular reaction doesn't work but that's complete rubbish too and you can find that on essays i've written um yeah that the x-ray supported the acoustic supports it and there's lunch back to the left supports it so i think he was hit there and then at and then he's he bounces off the back seat starts going forward and then after 328 he's hit a second time in the head at about 3 27 328 and then his head starts moving forward more material starts coming out of his face and there happens to be another perfect acoustic fingerprint for a shot at that time so that's and so i think it was hit twice uh uh twice in the head and once in the back and it emerged through his throat okay this doesn't directly relate to the medical but since you'd commented on it he says uh could you comment on the relationship between the brittle time life henry loose claire booth loose william harvey cia guy and alan dulles director of the cia what was alan dulles doing at the cia farm on november 22nd that's a separate question uh yeah i mean we're getting into areas that i don't know about uh in any detail don't feel like you need to the only the only thing i'll say is that is that alan dulles was the most active member on the warren uh commission uh he was fired by jack kennedy in uh the wake of the uh pigs fiasco uh and he hated the kennedys um and uh and for him to end he was and when he first showed up for the warren report uh he passed out a book uh talking about lone gunman and uh passed around to all the members of the warren uh commission that that uh he was pretty convinced that that's what they were gonna find that this is another uh lone nut

[02:12:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7922s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=7922s)

find that this is another uh lone nut gunman and uh and i i think that no that that that he was extremely influential on the warren uh commission and uh and he had lots of skeletons to hide including the fact that lee harvey oswald um uh had been a radar operator at atsugi uh naval base which was the cia base from which uh eu planes flew across russia uh lee harvey oswald knew the the uh knew that planes were being dispatched from there knew that the altitudes they could fly at knew that they were being dispatched across russia uh and then when he defects to uh russia uh he goes into the american embassy and promises that he's going to turn over state secrets to the russians which as a radar operator at a u2 spy base he might have some information about he's in russia for 22 months he returns the united states at the height of the cold war and he's not arrested for treason yeah who's he working for well you know alan dulles would not have wanted an exploration of that question put put it that way and nor did he get one right yeah was the back wound caused by a bullet that ricocheted off the street traveling upward and do you think the throat wound was a bullet or a glass fragment from the windshield i think he answered the second one already yeah i you know the thing is if the bullet if the bullet entered from the front you know i if the bullet entered from the front um you know these are all remote possibilities but but the problem is some he did have his arms up he was struck by something where did that bullet go and that's the question you have to ask yourself where did the bullet go if it if it struck him in the back if it struck him in front and went through it would have left a bigger gaping hole in the back and would have been lodged in the back of the limousine in in the seat of the limousine so i don't think it came from the front to the back so if it went from the back to the front

[02:14:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8040s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8040s)

to the front um uh do i think that's that it you know some people said yeah a bullet bounced off and it it seemed to be traveling upward when it hit him it may have originated from a lower position than than oswald's perch but i think that a bullet did hit him from behind um and and uh and as you said from as i showed from the abrasion collar which is most noticeable toward the lower part of the wood it appears that it was traveling somewhat upward although probably not all that upward when it hit him but if it entered there the bullet if it bounced off the asphalt what a miracle that it hit him right there and i can't believe that it would have and then why would he reach for his throat if he was hit in the back he you know in any case these are all questions i'm sure we're never gonna have perfect answers to and they're always gonna remain mystery as far as i'm concerned okay next one uh regarding your comment about the cats and back memo in the queue q a about if there was a conspiracy then hoover missed it isn't that at odds with hoover telling johnson that it seems someone else was using oswald's identity in mexico city oh yeah this gets into a very interesting discussion um six weeks before the assassination there's someone in the uh in mexico impersonating oswald and telephone calls uh to the russian embassy uh and um hoover knew that there was something very ugly going on but remember hoover was a compromised guy himself and the agency the cia probably had and most people think that the cia had compromising photographs of him in in for granted with uh uh with uh another man and uh they may have come to him and said hey you know you would not want this to get out and uh basically compromised him um plus uh oswald himself was probably providing information for the fbi itself and um and there was compromise going around and i think that

[02:16:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8160s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8160s)

around and i think that oswald uh putting it pinning it on oswald and then eliminating oswald burying the story was what had to happen uh the constant back memo hints at that at how powers were being arraigned arrayed to do that i i think there's no question but that everyone was compromised in this and it takes us just to the nature of official uh investigations you know the fix is all the minute you you appoint an official investigatory body that fixes in you already know what the conclusion is going to be just a matter of getting people with the highest credentials in the world you know nobel prize laureates to basically sign on okay uh some people are questioning your your point that the bullet wound in the neck was not an entry wound from the front and there they can a couple of people are saying well if it went through the windshield uh or it could have been a low velocity type gun that the bullet would have remained in the body rather than exiting the rear right well that's okay that's that's always a question we've asked and you know my response to that is if it had enough power to actually hit him it would have been driven inside the body if it's stopped inside the body uh i've seen the original x-rays it's not on any of the x-rays anywhere and and and that bullets show up very brightly on an x-ray field as you can see in the if you see the anterior posterior x-ray that's available on the web there's that 6.5 millimeter object who knows what it is well they're talking about the neck wound though they're awkward but i'm saying i've seen the neck i've seen the original x-rays and you'll everybody that's looked at the original x-rays of of the neck and the chest and and and the head there's no bullet in there okay uh let's see you know there you're getting hit on this one didn't the report written by cyber and o'Neill say that the back moon was a downward trajectory

[02:18:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8281s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8281s)

was a downward trajectory oh yes oh absolutely at 45 degree angle because that's what they heard uh hume dr hume say now again you know humes god love him i think that he was probably a good anatomic mythologist he was not a forensic pathologist so what does he do he sticks his finger in the wound and he wore apparently people i know who knew him told me he wore an eight and a half glove he had big hands and he stings his finger in the wound which any forensic pathologist in the world will tell you that's not what you do and he thought there was a downward track and so that's what sebring o'Neill reported because that's what they heard uh hume suggest that seemed to be going down but again that's not the evidence you get from humes about the forensics in this case is totally worthless unfortunately he's not a forensic pathologist by the time as i said dr fink who had some fresh credentials although he wasn't the guy that this case called for because he wasn't doing autopsies himself he was just reviewing the work other people had done just kind of a paper reviewer um he got there half an hour they'd already gotten the brain out they'd already

manipulated the body um so the problem is you know that you can't really be sure what that would look like other than the fact that that there seemed to be a downward angle uh by hume sticking his his finger in it which is not the way to probe it what you do is you get these very fine uh firm rods and you very gently probe it to see where the wound the track wants to fall and then sometimes you can get it but a lot of times even then you can't get it asked cyril wecht to ask any other friend's pathologist and the reason you can't is a bullet goes through and in the meantime you know kennedy's arm is up like this and all of a sudden now he's he's on the autopsy table and he's been turned and this that the other thing and all the muscles shift around the entrance wound the bullet wound and you may or may not have an accurate uh account of where it went it's it's unfortunately not the diagnostic thing you'd like it to be okay well we only got three more minutes so there's no point taking another question

[02:20:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8400s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8400s)

question so let me just say thank you very much gary that's it's been absolutely excellent we're honored and appreciative i i listen i invite you to put my email address out if you'd like and if people have some other specific questions but again um the essay that i spoke to uh tonight is available online with all hot links to original source documents um and people are welcome to see them and review them and uh and neutron activation analysis that's another thing that keeps it keeps coming up again uh lucian hague you know does not want to read what the original literature says about this he wants to sort site uh larry sturdivan as a quote expert on neutron activation analysis and he doesn't know anything about the subject but these are the grand poo experts that the mainstream media will always turn to for the truth about what happened to jack kennedy all right now what's the actual title of the article the five investigations article oh how five investigations into jfk's medical autopsy evidence got it wrong but all you have to do is put aguilar aguilar and how five investigations up in a google search and bam it'll take you right there and and i cannot recommend that article highly enough i mean okay well thank you that's so nice of you that's so nice it was a labor of love at the time and uh and and there's more stuff i could have added to it if i were writing it today based on other stuff that's come out but it's still it still is a pretty good primer on how things go wrong and how the government how the government basically and the media will always push the official narrative yeah and how the public certain parts of the public want to believe what they want to believe regardless of the evidence in front of them exactly exactly all right well next week we we go with doug horn we return now to the national security state and the kennedy assassination the cold war context of the assassination we wrap it up with with me and then we follow with a panel on the national security state and the assassination thanks for tuning in and thank you again gary and we'll see you all next week thank you so much thanks and thanks for this great opportunity

[02:22:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8520s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFjuUc5C6bU&t=8520s)

this great opportunity wonderful wonderful appreciate it

END